We present an integrated study combining detailed field, geochronological and geochemical data of a Neoproterozoic intra-oceanic arc systems exposed in the Pan-African belt of the Moroccan Anti-Atlas. The arc rock units exposed in Bou Azzer and Sirwa inliers consist of a tectonic patchwork of back-arc ophiolitic sequences to the north thrusted onto accreted arc complexes to the south. Arc complexes are composed of amphibolite, granodioritic and granitic gneisses intruded by various undeformed hydrous ultramafic (hornblendite), mafic (hornblende-gabbro, diorite) and felsic (granodiorite, tonalite, granite) arc lithologies. We show that these complexes are remnants of a long-lived (120 Myr) Neoproterozoic oceanic arc, punctuated by three successive magmatic episodes (760-730 Ma, 710-690 Ma, 660-640 Ma respectively) interspersed with periods of magmatic quiescence. The typical geochemical arc signature and positive ENd t values for the igneous rocks emplaced during each magmatic episode (medians at +7.1, +5.4 and +5.7, from older to younger) attest that their parental magmas derived from a depleted mantle source without substantial assimilation by the WAC older crustal basement. Trace-element geochemistry, i.e. Sr/Y, La/Yb, of intermediate to felsic arc rocks produced during each magmatic pulse suggests that the arc crust was thickened (> 30-35 km) over a short time period between the first and second magmatic episodes (730 - 710 Ma) which coincides with an important regional shortening event. Soft-docking of the oceanic arc on a buoyant transitional margin is invoked to explain tectonic inversion in overriding plate, leading to shortening and related thickening of the arc crust. Concomitant magmatic shutdown resulting from a reorganization of subduction dynamics (i.e. change in slab geometry, flip in subduction polarity). A non-tectonic critical thickening of the arc crust is invoked to explain the second magmatic shutdown (680-660 Ma), by freezing the subarc mantle influx. This lull period is followed by a third magmatic episode which is likely triggered by delamination of the dense lower crust and reactivation of subarc mantle flow. This is supported by the bimodal chemical signature of evolved magmatic products, suggesting two distinct sources: partial melts from the foundered lower crust and new magmatic products which differentiated from a post-delamination thinned crust.
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Abstract

We present an integrated study combining detailed field, geochronological and geochemical
data of a Neoproterozoic intra-oceanic arc systems exposed in the Pan-African belt of the
Moroccan Anti-Atlas. The arc rock units exposed in Bou Azzer and Sirwa inliers consist of a
tectonic patchwork of back-arc ophiolitic sequences to the north thrusted onto accreted arc
complexes to the south. Arc complexes are composed of amphibolite, granodioritic and granitic
gneisses intruded by various undeformed hydrous ultramafic (hornblendite), mafic
(hornblende-gabbro, diorite) and felsic (granodiorite, tonalite, granite) arc lithologies. We show
that these complexes are remnants of a long-lived (120 Myr) Neoproterozoic oceanic arc,
punctuated by three successive magmatic episodes (760-730 Ma, 710-690 Ma, 660-640 Ma
respectively) interspersed with periods of magmatic quiescence. The typical geochemical arc
signature and positive $^{143}$Nd, values for the igneous rocks emplaced during each magmatic
episode (medians at $+7.1$, $+5.4$ and $+5.7$, from older to younger) attest that their parental
magmas derived from a depleted mantle source without substantial assimilation by the WAC
older crustal basement. Trace-element geochemistry, i.e. Sr/Y, La/Yb, of intermediate to felsic
arc rocks produced during each magmatic pulse suggests that the arc crust was thickened (> 30-35 km) over a short time period between the first and second magmatic episodes (730 - 710 Ma) which coincides with an important regional shortening event. Soft-docking of the
oceanic arc on a buoyant transitional margin is invoked to explain tectonic inversion in
overriding plate, leading to shortening and related thickening of the arc crust. Concomitant
magmatic shutdown resulting from a reorganization of subduction dynamics (i.e. change in
slab geometry, flip in subduction polarity). A non-tectonic critical thickening of the arc crust is
invoked to explain the second magmatic shutdown (680-660 Ma), by freezing the subarc
mantle influx. This lull period is followed by a third magmatic episode which is likely triggered
by delamination of the dense lower crust and reactivation of subarc mantle flow. This is
supported by the bimodal chemical signature of evolved magmatic products, suggesting two
distinct sources: partial melts from the foundered lower crust and new magmatic products which differentiated from a post-delamination thinned crust.

**Keywords:** subduction; oceanic arc; episodic magmatism; Neoproterozoic; arc tempo; arc root delamination
1. Introduction

Continental and oceanic arcs are sites of high magmatic productivity which contribute to crustal growth either by direct addition of intermediate magmatic rock into the continental crust at active ocean-continent convergent margins or after tectonic accretion of island arcs formed above ocean-ocean subduction zones (Stern, 2010). Active arcs only provide snapshots of their growth history and they cannot be used to unravel the evolution and building mechanisms of arc systems from a combined petrologic, geochemical, and tectonic perspectives. Instead, fossil arc systems give direct access to deeper sections of the crust and potentially record the long-lived evolution of arc systems. Recent studies on extinct arcs showed that the evolution of arc magmatism may dramatically fluctuate (e.g., Paterson and Ducea, 2015), as in many continental arcs, especially in the west American cordilleras, for which magmatic activity is highly episodic (Armstrong, 1988; Ducea et al., 2015a). Their magmatic evolution is marked by short periods (5–20 Myr) of high magmatic flux, also called flare ups, separated by longer periods (30–40 Myr) of magmatic quiescence (Ducea, 2001; Ducea and Barton, 2007). The origin of this magmatic periodicity is still debated for continental arcs and poorly explored for oceanic arc systems.

Changes in tectonic regime at the subduction zone scale represent an important factor influencing the magmatic activity in arcs. Magmatic flare-ups are thought to operate in conjunction with shortening tectonics in the upper plate during subduction (Ducea and Barton 2007). Analogue and numerical modelling as well as observations of modern subduction systems, especially along the Andean active margin, show that the distribution of magmatic activity, the tectonic regime in the overriding plate and the geometry of the dipping slab can all be highly variable and linked to each other (Gorczyk et al., 2007; Schellart et al., 2007; Heuret et al., 2007; Lallemand et al., 2008; Humphreys, 2009; Guillaume et al., 2009 and references therein). Furthermore, crustal (lithospheric) thickening has also important consequences on the arc magmatic activity and was proposed to be an important precursor of magmatic flare-up in the California arc (Ducea, 2001; DeCelles et al., 2009). Crustal thickening forces filtration
of mantle-derived mafic magmas into the lower crust which in the long term can cause a thermal runaway and subsequent magmatic flare-up (Dufek and Bergantz 2005). At a critical stage, arc crust thickening may even freeze and/or delocalize the mantle wedge convective flux, hence, the activity of the arc magmatic center itself (DeCelles et al., 2009; Ducea et al., 2015b and references therein). Alongside, such crustal thickening also leads the arc root to reach the garnet stability field which induces a local densification of the lower crust. The dense residual lower crust, i.e. arclogite, is unstable gravitationally and may eventually founder and/or be convectively removed into the mantle (Currie et al., 2015), which could contribute to the refertilization and the reactivation of the subarc mantle flux (Lee and Anderson, 2015).

Magma productivity in oceanic arcs appears to be more continuous in comparison to continental arc record. Modern oceanic arcs are relatively short lived (maximum of 46 - 75 Ma old for the Aleutian; Jicha and Jagoutz, 2015) and therefore, show limited possibilities for changes in their intra-arc tectonic regimes, magmatism rate and critical thickening of their crust (Lallemand et al., 2005; Gerya, 2011). Nevertheless, numerous studies on accreted oceanic arcs show that, similarly to their continental counterparts, they are subject to crustal thickening, crustal root densification and foundering (Jagoutz and Behn, 2013; Jagoutz and Schmidt, 2013). Nonetheless, compilations of geochronological data made so far on fossil and active arc systems do not reveal a periodicity in their magmatic activity (e.g., Jagoutz et al., 2009; Rioux et al., 2010; Hacker et al., 2011; Jicha and Jagoutz, 2015).

We report in this paper a geochronological and geochemical compilation on the fossil Neoproterozoic oceanic arc system in the Pan-African belt exposed in the Anti-Atlas orogen, Morocco. Although the kinematics and geometry of the subduction system are difficult to constrain, these complexes offer a good record of the former magmatic activity and provide, to the best of our knowledge, the first example of an oceanic arc characterized by episodic magmatism. We discuss the mechanisms which can cause shutdown of magmatism and emphasize the strong interplay between tectonics, magmatism and crustal thickening during the evolution of an oceanic arc. Despite the fact that Neoproterozoic and Phanerozoic belts
exhibit similar features, hence implying comparable plate tectonic processes, we show that differences exist when considering the scale of subduction dynamics.

2. Structure of accreted oceanic units in the Pan-African Anti-Atlas orogen

The Anti-Atlas orogen is the northwesternmost segment of a more than 4000 km-long Pan-African orogenic belt formed after subduction of oceanic and continental slabs as evidenced by the presence of eclogites, blueschists, ophiolitic sequences and accreted arc complexes (Ganade de Araujo et al., 2014; Letsch et al., 2017; Fig. 1a). In the Moroccan Anti-Atlas, fossil oceanic arcs crop out in the southern part of the Sirwa and Bou Azzer windows (Gasquet et al., 2008; Hefferan et al., 2014). They were accreted onto the West African Craton (WAC) margin, consisting of Paleoproterozoic basement and passive margin sedimentary and volcanic sequences (Thomas et al., 2004; Gasquet et al., 2005; Triantafyllou et al., 2016, 2018; Fig. 1b). The ophiolite assemblages exposed in the northern side of both windows have been thrust to the south onto the fossil arc units (El Hadi et al., 2010; Triantafyllou et al., 2016). They represent the back-arc component of the subduction system (Bodinier et al., 1984; Ahmed et al., 2005; Bousquet et al., 2008; Hodel et al., 2017; 2018) which was dated only in the Sirwa window at 762 Ma (Samson et al., 2004). In both areas, the ophiolite is composed of strongly deformed and metamorphosed serpentinites (former mantle peridotites), layered and isotropic metagabbros and metabasalts. Sm-Nd dating of garnet amphibolites within the Sirwa window constrained amphibolite grade metamorphism at ca. 647 Ma (Inglis et al., 2005; Inglis et al., 2017).

The paleo-arc complexes exposed in the southern side of both inliers consist of a heterogeneous assemblage in terms of lithology, metamorphism and deformation (Blein et al., 2014; Hefferan et al., 2014; Fig. 2). Considering both inliers as a whole, three arc units can be distinguished based on field relations and igneous U-Pb ages:

(i) The gneissic unit forms a discontinuous band of mafic to intermediate and felsic orthogneisses (see orange unit in Fig. 2a-b). In the Sirwa inlier, the Tachakoucht gneisses...
represent former andesitic subvolcanic units. In the Bou Azzer window, the gneissic unit is mostly represented by former plutonic rock marking mafic to felsic bimodal magmatism with evidence for magmatic mingling prior to solid state deformation (Fig. 3). Their igneous protoliths were dated between 755 and 730 Ma (Thomas et al., 2002; D'Lemos et al., 2006; Blein et al, 2014; Triantafyllou et al., 2016).

(ii) In the Bou Azzer area, these gneissic rocks are intruded by hydrous ultramafic and mafic arc lithologies (hornblendite and hornblende-gabbro). Their emplacement led to dehydration and dehydration-melting reactions in the garnet stability field and production of intermediate to felsic melts (tonalite, granodiorite and leucogranodiorite; Triantafyllou et al., 2018; Fig. 3a). Emplacement ages for both mafic and felsic igneous rocks are bracketed between 710 and 685 Ma (D'Lemos et al., 2006; El Hadi et al., 2010; Triantafyllou et al., 2018).

(iii) In both inliers, back arc ophiolites and arc units are crosscut by igneous arc rocks. In the Sirwa window, they are represented by hornblende-gabbro and coarse-grained hornblendites intruding the host Tachakoucht gneiss. The thermal pulse induced by the intrusion of these mafic-ultramafic rocks led to localized partial melting of the host gneiss and production of leucogranitic melts (Fig. 3b). The leucogranitic rocks (with large enclaves of hornblendite and hornblende gabbro) were found as intrusive material within the Khzama back arc ophiolite, thus indicating that the latter has been thrust onto the arc complexes before this last igneous pulse. In the Bou Azzer inlier, quartz-dioritic to granodioritic plutons intrude the back-arc ophiolite as well as the older arc units. U-Pb zircon ages for this unit are clustered between 660 and 640 Ma (Thomas et al., 2002; Triantafyllou et al., 2016; Inglis et al., 2005; El Hadi et al., 2010; Walsh et al., 2012; Fig. 2a).

3. Compilation of previous data and results

Three types of datasets are presented in this paper: (i) a compilation of U-Pb ages for arc magmatic rocks published in the literature on the Moroccan arc, (ii) bulk rock geochemistry
(major and trace elements) on intermediate to felsic arc-related lithologies and (iii) whole-rock Nd isotopic compositions for each igneous arc unit.

3.1 Age distribution of the Moroccan arc magmatic events

We gathered geochronological data published so far on igneous crystalline arc lithologies in the studied area (Fig. 2a). Each U-Pb date is inferred to represent the best estimate of the emplacement of arc magmatic intrusions and/or related high-grade metamorphic events due to the emplacement of an adjacent igneous body (based on zircon rims dates and/or cooling dates when appropriate; see references in appendix C1 for further description; n = 37).

Compilation of geochronological data evidences along duration of arc magmatism over a period of 100 to 120 Myr (Fig. 4). However, this activity is discontinuous, with a cadence of three successive main events illustrated by peaks of zircon abundance/production interspersed by short periods with minimal to absence of magmatic activity (Fig. 4). These age peaks do not appear to reflect a bias in sampling as a systematic and homogeneous sampling has been conducted on every recognized arc unit in our field study. These peaks are interpreted as successive episodes of arc magma production centered at 753 Ma, 701 Ma and 653 Ma, respectively (median values for each cluster) interspersed by periods of approximately 50 Myr during which magmatic production was either shut down or the rate was substantially lowered. For a broader comparison, the Mesozoic evolution of the Cordilleran continental arc shows a similar episodic behavior with a comparable frequency of 30 to 70 Myr between ages peak interpreted as three successive magmatic flare-ups (Paterson and Ducea, 2015).

Quantifying magmatic addition rates requires quantifying apparent volume of magmatic rock emplaced during each magmatic pulse. In this study, we quantified surface area for each igneous group based on detailed geological maps (Admou et al, 2013; Triantafyllou, 2016): 72.4 km² (ca. 52 % of total exposed igneous arc rock in the studied area), 11.2 km² (ca. 8%) and 54.3 km² (ca. 40%) for the first (760-730 Ma), the second (710-690 Ma) and the third (660-640 Ma) magmatic episode respectively. However, it is unlikely that these values reflect the actual magma volume that was originally added to the arc system and thus, cannot be used...
to give weight to each magmatic pulse and ultimately, to quantify magmatic addition rates during arc life. This is because igneous rocks from fossil oceanic arcs are not emplaced and collected in a stable margin as for continental arc settings but instead, into a non-steady oceanic crust which subsequently endured tectonic accretion and obduction onto a continental margin. Therefore, we do not attempt to quantify volumes of magma produced through each igneous event in this study but we emphasize the pulsed and periodic behavior of the magmatic signal in an intra-oceanic arc setting.

3.2 Geochemistry of intermediate to felsic arc rocks

In order to study the evolution of magma composition through time, 51 samples (including 4 samples from Bougmane complex; Triantafyllou et al., 2018) were analyzed and grouped according to their igneous age (if the sample was dated) or to the age attributed to the igneous unit from which the sample has been taken (see Figure 2 for sampling location and appendix C3). All the samples are subalkaline, dioritic to granitic in composition (SiO$_2$: 57.1 – 77.8 wt% and [Na$_2$O+K$_2$O]: 3.9 – 11.5 wt%; Fig. 5) and plot predominantly in the low K calc-alkaline series (< 3 wt% K$_2$O; low FeO/MgO ratios). Only 7 samples show high K contents, including one with an abnormal K$_2$O value (7.5 wt%) probably related to post-magmatic alteration. All the samples have high Mg# (>30; Mg# = Mg/[Fe+Mg] * 100; Mg-number) which tend to decrease with increasing SiO$_2$ content and form a magmatic trend of magnesian diorites, granodiorites, granites and leucogranites according to the Frost and Frost (2008) classification. There is no systematic variation in major element compositions in function of their crystallization age. As a whole, based on their major element signatures, those samples are comparable to the felsic rocks found in the Kohistan oceanic arc (Jagoutz et al., 2009).

The MORB-normalized spider diagrams for each magmatic pulse described in the previous section are showed on Figure 5. Evolved magmatic rocks emplaced during the first magmatic pulse (730 - 760 Ma, group 1) have typical arc signature with enrichment in LILE (Rb, Ba, Sr) compared to HFSE, negative Nb-Ta anomalies, positive Pb anomalies, low La/Yb and Sr/Y (from 2 to 8 and ranging between 7 and 31, respectively) with HREE and Y MORB-normalized
contents between 1 and 0.1 (Fig. 5a). Granitoids from the second magmatic pulse (690-710 Ma, group 2) display similar patterns but some samples show severe depletion in HREE leading to globally higher La/Yb ratios (2 to 25) and Sr/Y (9 to 1420). Samples with the highest La/Yb ratios display strong positive anomalies in Sr and Eu reflecting either plagioclase accumulation or melting of a plagioclase-bearing assemblage (Fig. 5b). The younger group (660-640 Ma, group 3) is characterized by a dominant subset of samples having the same trace-element pattern as the arc rocks from the group 1 (760-730 Ma). Another subset is marked by strongly Y- and HREE-depleted patterns comparable to arc rocks from the group 2 (Fig. 5c).

Additionally, some felsic samples are characterized by very high La/Yb and Sr/Y ratios (ranging from 2 to 45 and 13 to 660, respectively) associated with pronounced positive peaks in Sr, Eu and Zr. These HREE-depleted leucogranites belonging to group 2 and 3 are often found as leucosomes in anatectic domains within the Sirwa and Bou Azzer complexes and are interpreted as the product of partial melting of a plagioclase-bearing mafic precursor in the garnet stability field (Triantafyllou et al., 2016; 2018).

3.3 Isotopic signatures of magmatic pulses

$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ data were determined by MC-ICPMS on 32 samples (see appendix A2 for detailed protocol and instruments used) and were compared to results from twenty-eight samples reported in the literature (Mrini, 1993; Beraaouz et al., 2004; D'Lemos et al., 2006; Triantafyllou et al., 2018). Initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$t) were calculated using the available U-Pb zircon ages (as either direct or indirect dating; see summary of isotopic data and considered U-Pb ages in appendix table C2). The $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$t of the Moroccan paleo-arc rocks show positive values ranging between +2.7 and +10.1 with a median at +5.9. Median values for each magmatic pulse slightly decrease through time, essentially between group 1 (760-730 Ma) at +7.1 and group 2-3 (710-690 Ma) at +5.4 and (660-640 Ma) at +5.7, respectively. These values are close to the signature of contemporary depleted mantle ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$t of +6.5 at 700 Ma based on the depleted mantle model of DePaolo, 1981) and together with their subduction geochemical fingerprint,
are consistent with their emplacement in an arc setting. The general isotopic composition of the Moroccan arc reflects a large input of new mantle-derived material without substantial assimilation by the West African Craton Paleoproterozoic crustal basement defined by highly negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (ranging between -0.4 and -17.2 with weighted mean at -8.2; Ennih and Liégeois, 2008).

4. Discussion

4.1 Arc crust thickening recorded by geochemical proxies

Crustal thickness of arcs can be qualitatively estimated by key geochemical proxies such as Sr/Y and La/Yb ratios (Profeta et al., 2015). This method is based on the very different behavior of these elements with respect to pressure conditions during igneous processes occurring in arc crust. At pressure exceeding 8-10 kbar, garnet ($\pm$ amphibole and pyroxene) is stable in the solid residue after either partial melting of mafic rocks or fractional crystallization and extraction of mafic to intermediate melts; while at lower pressure, plagioclase ($\pm$ amphibole and pyroxene) replaces garnet in both cases (Lopez and Castro, 2001; Alonso-Perez et al., 2009; Müntener and Ulmer, 2018; Palin et al., 2016). Sr and La partition preferentially into the plagioclase-bearing solid residues producing intermediate to felsic melts with low Sr/Y and La/Yb ratios. At higher pressure, Y and Yb are preferentially incorporated into garnet-bearing residues and, consequently, melts formed in the stability field of garnet in the lower crust of thick arcs display high Sr/Y and La/Yb ratios (Chapman et al., 2015).

Intermediate to felsic arc rocks emplaced during the first magmatic episode (group 1: 730-760 Ma) in the Moroccan arc form a typical calc-alkaline Andesite-Dacite-Rhyolite (ADR) arc suite marked by low $[\text{La/Yb}]_N$ and Sr/Y ratios ($_N$: normalized to chondrite composition; Fig. 7). This chemical signature characterizes evolved rocks produced in oceanic arc settings but more particularly, immature and thin arc sections like the Scotia arc for example (Leat et al., 2007). The parental melt of these evolved rocks formed by fractional crystallization or partial melting in the presence of plagioclase and absence of garnet in the solid residue, i.e. below 8-10 kbar
corresponding to a maximum crustal depth of 30-35 km (Fig. 7: model C). Evolved arc rocks formed during the second and third magmatic episodes (group 2 and 3: 690-710 Ma and 640-660 Ma, respectively) similarly display low \([\text{La/Yb}]_N\) and \(\text{Sr/Y}\) values but numerous samples show higher values by one or two orders of magnitude (Fig. 7). These signatures are consistent with fractional melting trends and/or crystallization models of a hydrous mafic source in the stability field of garnet (models A and B in Fig. 7; see appendix C4 for fractional melting model parameters and the composition of residual melt produced by fractional crystallization). The occurrence of garnetite and garnet-bearing leucosomes within contact reaction zones surrounding small hornblende-gabbroic and hornblenditic intrusions suggests that garnet was a product of dehydration and dehydration melting reactions within the Moroccan arc crust (Triantafyllou et al., 2016; 2018). The stability of garnet in the source of these melts implies that they formed at a minimum pressure of 10 kbar corresponding to crustal depth exceeding 35 km. These geochemical signatures mimic adakitic to dacitic lava produced in modern mature and thick oceanic arcs, like the Aleutian arc (Moho depth reaching >50 km; Calvert and McGeary, 2013) and in thick continental arcs (up to 70 km in the Andes; Beck et al., 1996) (see grey symbols in Fig. 7). The composition of HREE- and Y-depleted intermediate and evolved rocks that formed during the second and third magmatic events in the Moroccan arc also resembles that of trondhjemitic melts produced by dehydration melting in the root of thick Phanerozoic and Neoproterozoic accreted oceanic arcs (see blue symbols in Fig. 7; Garrido et al., 2006; Berger et al., 2011). Based on the evolution of \(\text{Sr/Y}\) and \(\text{La/Yb}\) ratios, we argue that the magmatic activity of the Moroccan arc recorded important crustal thickening, particularly between the first and second magmatic events, \(i.e.\) between 730 and 710 Ma (Fig. 7). The evolved magmatic rocks emplaced during the second pulse show a relatively uniform spread of HREE and Y (Fig. 5b and Fig. 7) suggesting that the magma genesis and its chemical diversification occurred at different levels of the arc crust from lower to middle crust. In turn, arc rocks formed during the third magmatic event show a bimodal distribution (Fig. 5c and Fig. 7) suggesting two distinct sources and/or depths of differentiation: a first subset formed under garnet stability field (with average HREE and Y contents), with a composition
similar to arc rocks emplaced during first magmatic episode and a second one in presence of
garnet (HREE- and Y-depleted), hence reflecting a deeper crustal source. The latter indicates
that the arc crust maintained a minimum thickness of 35 km during the third magmatic pulse
before its tectonic obduction onto the continental margin.

4.2 Crustal thickening triggered by magmatic accretion and tectonic shortening

Crustal thickening in arc systems can be caused by two mechanisms: (i) addition of mantle-
derived magma into the arc crust or (ii) compressional/shortening tectonics affecting the upper
plate of the subduction system. Although upper plate shortening is rare in active oceanic arcs,
it does characterize continental arcs such as the Central Andean section (Haschke et al., 2006)
and the evolution of fossil continental arc complexes in the Coast Belt of the NW Cordillera
(e.g., Miller and Paterson, 2001). In our case study, the first magmatic event (760-730 Ma) is
concomitant with back-arc spreading and the formation of a supra-subduction zone ophiolite,
attesting that the overriding plate was initially in an extensional regime most likely in response
to a steep subducting slab as in most modern island arcs (Syracuse and Ambers, 2006). A
major deformation event occurred between the first and second magmatic episodes and
marked a tectonic inversion from extensional to compressional. This major deformation event
is constrained between 730 and 710 Ma as it only affected the arc rocks emplaced during the
first magmatic pulse (760-730 Ma; Triantafyllou et al., 2018). Two observations support crust
thickening as a result of tectonic activity during this 730-710 Ma timespan: (i) burial of the 760-
730 Ma meta-andesitic rocks to >20 km depth (Triantafyllou et al., 2016) and (ii) stacking of
the 762 Ma back-arc ophiolite onto the 750-730 Ma arc rocks followed by the intrusion of 660-
640 Ma mafic to felsic magmas into the stacked units (Hefferan et al., 2014; Triantafyllou et
al., 2016, 2018; Inglis et al. 2017; Fig. 2b).

Such tectonically-driven crustal thickening has not been observed yet in modern intra-oceanic
arc systems which in contrast, are associated with extensional (e.g., Bonin, Mariana, Tonga,
Kermadec, Scotia, Lesser Antilles island arcs) or neutral tectonic regime in the overriding plate
(e.g., Aleutian oceanic arc; Leat and Larter, 2003). Furthermore, modern oceanic arcs are
relatively short-lived (e.g., the Aleutian arc is 46 - 75 Ma old; Jicha and Jagoutz, 2015 and references therein) and thus, show limited possibilities for changes in their intra-arc tectonic regimes. Analogue and numerical geodynamic models showed that, for long-lived subduction systems, the tectonic regime in the overriding crust can significantly change through time, alternating between extensional and compressional during the evolution of a single subduction system (e.g., Clark et al., 2008; Guillaume et al., 2009). Compressional regime into the upper plate – and the arc system itself – requires a rheological coupling between the subducting slab and the overriding lithosphere. The efficiency of plates coupling can be influenced by the amount of fluids and melts in the overriding lithospheric section (Billen, 2008; Nikolaeva et al., 2008; Sizova et al., 2010; Baitsch et al, 2014), relative velocities of the subducting and overriding plates (Arcay et al., 2008), variations of slab geometry due to subduction of buoyant crustal elements (active ridge, seamounts, oceanic plateau; Mason et al., 2010) and/or to deep anchoring of the subducting slab (Heuret and Lallemand, 2005; Guillaume et al., 2009).

Compressional tectonics in the upper plate of subduction zone have also been recognized in a few modern oceanic arcs formed in complex geodynamic contexts. In intra-oceanic settings, Philippines, Luzon and Ryukyu arcs are atypical as they were built on pre-existing island arcs and ophiolitic remnants (Pubellier et al., 1996). These oceanic arcs are characterized by specific plates kinematic conditions which induce compressional (shortening) regime in their respective upper plates (Lallemand et al., 2008). Other case studies refer to ‘transitional arc systems’ between continental and intra-oceanic arc endmembers (Ducea et al., 2015b), which include Japan, New Britain, New Hebrides and Solomon arcs (e.g., Petterson et al., 1999; Okada and Ikeda, 2012). In comparison to intra-oceanic settings, these transitional arcs can have a long-lived magmatic evolution. They generally evolve at a certain geographic proximity to the continental margin but may remain oceanic in nature as they form on extended continental passive margins made of time-integrated accumulations of accreted terranes including oceanic plateaus and/or arc magmatic products (Ducea et al., 2015b). In such context, a compressional regime can be induced in the oceanic arc sensu stricto due to its docking and tectonic accretion on this transitional margin. Shortening is transferred by the
indirect (through buoyant oceanic terranes) collision between the oceanic arc and the continental margin. Amongst other examples, the evolution of the Solomon oceanic arc is thought to be an illustration of such mechanisms for which accretionary processes and associated compressional regime ultimately led to a flip in subduction polarity (Petterson et al., 1999).

We propose that the Moroccan arc underwent a similar long-lived evolution typical of such transitional arc settings. This geodynamic scenario would also be consistent with the isotopic signature of the second magmatic pulse (Fig. 6), precluding a significant contribution of the continental radiogenic old crust margin into its arc magmatic source; neither through the subduction of eroded products or as subduction of the continental margin itself nor through the formation of an Andean-type continental arc system. Our integrative study rather suggests that the major deformation event recorded in the older portion of the Moroccan oceanic arc resulted from a soft-accretion or soft-docking of the primary arc. Such a scenario could account for tectonic shortening in an oceanic domain and induced crustal thickening within a relatively short time span.

4.3 Linking episodic magmatism, crustal thickening and tectonic regime in oceanic arcs

We argue that the magmatic activity in the Neoproterozoic Moroccan oceanic arc was episodic, alternating three successive magmatic pulses with relatively short periods of magmatic lull (20-30 Myr; Fig. 4). Such a non-steady state evolution is very similar to the magmatic arc tempo which characterizes the evolution of several long-lived accreted and active continental arcs (e.g., western North American arc, the central Andes, the Gangdese arc in southern Tibet and the Taknar arc complex in NE Iran; respectively studied by e.g., Armstrong, 1988; Ducea and Barton, 2007; Ma et al., 2015; Moghadam et al., 2017). However, this process is very atypical for oceanic arc systems where magmatic production appears to be continuous in modern and Phanerozoic records (Hacker et al., 2008; Rioux et al., 2010; Bosch et al., 2011; see Fig. 3 in Paterson and Ducea, 2015). The causes of magmatic shutdowns during the evolution of
ocean-ocean subduction zone are still not explored. We propose here a conceptual model based on field, geochemical and petrological evidences of the Moroccan oceanic arc remnant: The first magmatic shutdown of the Moroccan arc is constrained between 730 and 710 Ma, concurrently to a tectonic shortening event and associated crustal thickening described in the previous section. This suggests a strong interplay between subduction-induced tectonics, crustal thickening and production rate of arc magma. The onset of the magmatic history in the Moroccan oceanic arc is marked by high melt productivity, which is typically observed in Cordilleran subduction systems (Ducea et al., 2017). For the Moroccan case study, it is most likely due to the temporary steepening of the slab which is evidenced by back-arc spreading in the overriding crust and the development of a 762 and 742 Ma aged oceanic crust (respectively, Samson et al., 2004; Triantafyllou et al., in prep.). The compressional regime and thickening of the arc crust suggest that a tectonic inversion occurred in the upper plate, synchronously to a quiescence of magmatic activity. Then, the second magmatic event occurred between 710 and 680 Ma producing evolved arc rocks with the typical chemical signature of mature arc systems and intruding preexisting structures including stacked arc-related units. Important arc crust thickening can be responsible for magmatic shutdown by freezing the mantle wedge corner flow (DeCelles et al., 2009); as shown in North America where magmatic flare-ups follow major events of retro arc shortening by about 15–25 Myr (DeCelles, 2004). Such a model brings a consensual approach to link magmatic shutdown, tectonic shortening and crustal thickening observed in the Moroccan oceanic arc and supports that the mechanisms controlling the evolution of continental arcs can be applied to long-lived intra-oceanic systems. Another potential scenario would consider geodynamic causes that are external to the evolution of the magmatic arc system, including plate reconfiguration and accretionary/collisional processes. In this perspective, the first magmatic shutdown of the Moroccan arc could be a consequence of the transition of the arc system from an intra-oceanic to a transitional geodynamic setting subsequent to soft-docking of the primary arc on a buoyant composite continental margin (see section 4.2). Such soft-docking scenario would require an initial ocean-wards subduction polarity leading to arc accretion/docking after full consumption
of the subducted oceanic crust. Then, continent-wards subduction would be re-initiated after flipping in subduction polarity. The arc could still evolve in such a steady state for a long period up to the ultimate closure of the oceanic domain. Polarity flip during subduction has been proposed for several modern oceanic arcs in SW Pacific (e.g., Solomon, New Hebrides, New Guinea arcs; Cooper and Taylor, 1987; Meffre and Crawford, 2001; Boutelier and Chemenda, 2011) which could lead to complex polyphased structures similar to that in the Moroccan arc after their tectonic obduction on a continental margin.

The second magmatic lull is constrained between 680 and 660 Ma during the evolution of the Moroccan arc. This second magmatic shutdown is different from the first one as arc rocks emplaced during both the second and third magmatic pulses are undeformed. This prevented any major tectonic event during the cessation of magmatic activity and suggests a relatively steady-state evolution of the subduction from 710 Ma. Nd isotopic signature of arc rocks emplaced during the second and third magmatic pulses are indiscernible from each other (Fig. 6) suggesting no rejuvenation of the sub-arc mantle composition. The Moroccan arc crust was particularly thick during the second event (> 35 km) and evolved arc magmas were mainly produced during this episode by partial melting in the middle to lower crust of the arc (Triantafyllou et al., 2018). In such thickened arc frameworks, magmatic shutdown could mainly result from two mechanisms: (i) Thickened arc crust can induce filtration and accumulation of primary mafic magma into lower crustal reservoirs (Dufek and Bergantz 2005). This process can temporarily lead to a decrease in magmatic productivity within the middle and upper crust and ultimately, flare up in large batholith-forming episodes (DeCelles et al. 2009). However, this scenario is less in agreement with the bimodal distribution of the composition of the arc magma produced during the third magmatic pulse (660-640 Ma). Alternatively, (ii) this second magmatic shutdown could be caused by the critical thickening reached by the arc crust due to new addition of magmatic rocks during the second magmatic episode and, as mentioned above, the freezing of the mantle wedge-derived influx. The third magmatic episode would then be triggered by the delamination of the dense arc root and subsequent reactivation of the mantle wedge dynamics and related magmatism. This scenario
is preferred for explaining the last stage of the Moroccan oceanic arc. Magmatism would be derived from partial melts of the garnet-bearing arc root as it underwent higher temperature conditions during foundering (HREE- and Y-depleted signature; Fig. 5c) as well as from high proportion of dioritic and granodioritic magma emplaced after lower crust delamination, hence, in a thinner arc crust setting (HREE- and Y-non-depleted signature; Fig. 5c). Nevertheless, this second part of the evolution of the Moroccan arc requires further petrochronological investigation to better constrain the cause and timing of this short period of magmatic quiescence.

Concluding remarks

The Moroccan arc remnants are the products of a long-lived (100-120 Myr) Neoproterozoic subduction system. Based on U-Pb age distribution and detailed geological mapping of each arc unit, we infer that the magmatic evolution of the arc was episodic, characterized by three successive pulses cadenced each 40-50 Myr and interspersed by short periods of magmatic silence. Shortening tectonics in the upper plate of the subduction is concomitant with the first magmatic shutdown (730-710 Ma) resulting in back-arc thrusting onto the arc flank. Soft-docking of the oceanic arc on a buoyant, extended continental margin is invoked to explain shutdown of magmatism, crustal thickening and inversion of the tectonic regime. The second magmatic shutdown (680-660 Ma) is likely caused by critical arc crust thickening which froze the subarc mantle flux. The third magmatic episode is marked by a bimodal chemical signature of intermediate magmatic products suggesting that magmatism was triggered by the delamination of the dense root of the oceanic arc.

Arc growth driven by both rhythmic magmatism and tectonic shortening is usually a fingerprint of modern continental arcs, but our results emphasize that it could also characterize long-lived oceanic arcs, at least during the Late Neoproterozoic. These findings call for further investigations on the timing of magmatic activity in oceanic arcs. While their continental counterparts have been well studied, mapped and covered by U-Pb geochronology due to the
The abundance of intermediate to felsic rocks (easily datable by zircon geochronology), magmatic tempo of oceanic arcs is still under-investigated. Future research should explore geochronological systems adapted for mafic rocks (U-Pb dating on baddeleyite, rutile, titanite, apatite), as zircon-bearing intermediate to felsic rocks are less common in oceanic environments. Moreover, future sampling should focus not only on igneous rocks forming the arcs but also on the detrital sedimentary rocks that accumulated in forearc or back-arc basins and were sourced from the erosion of former volcanic/plutonic arc rocks. This strategy applied to active arcs and coupled with detailed geochronological studies on accreted island arc sections will help understanding if rhythmic magmatism in oceanic arcs is restricted to Neoproterozoic geodynamics or is a feature of long-lived oceanic subductions zones regardless of their age.
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Appendices

A. Analytical methods

A1. Whole rock major and trace elements analysis

Major and trace elements have been determined on bulk samples either by X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) and Laser-Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass-Spectrometer (LA-ICP-MS) on fused beads at the ICP-MS Laboratory of Central Analytical Facilities (CAF; Stellenbosch University, South Africa). Glass beads were prepared for XRF analysis using 7 g of high purity trace element and Rare Earth Element-free flux (LiBO$_2$ = 32.83%, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ = 66.67%, LiI = 0.50%) mixed with 0.7 g of the powder sample in a platinum crucible. The concentration of the control standards that were used in the calibration procedures for major element analysis fits the range of concentration of the samples. Amongst these standards were NIM-G (Granite from the Council for Mineral Technology, South Africa) and BE-N (Basalt from the International Working Group). Trace elements on bulk samples have been analyzed using the analytical procedure described in Eggins (2003). Fusion disks prepared for XRF analysis were coarsely crushed and a chip of each sample was mounted in a round resin disk for analysis using Laser Ablation ICP-MS with a Resolution 193 nm Excimer laser from ASI connected to an Agilent 7700 ICP-MS. Two spots of 100 µm were ablated on each sample using a frequency of 10 Hz and a fluence of ~6 J/cm$^2$. NIST 610 glass (values from Jochum et al., 2011) was used for quantification and analyzed every 15 samples, along with BCR-2G & BHVO-2G (values from GeoReM: Jochum et al., 2005). A fusion control standard from certified basaltic reference material (BCR-2, and BHVO-1, values from Jochum et al., 2016) is also analyzed in the beginning of a sequence to verify the effective ablation of fused material. LA-ICP-MS data was processed using Glitter v4.4.4 software (Access Macquarie Ltd., Macquarie University NSW 2109). Geochemical data can be found in Table C3 (see Günther et al., 2001 for more details about detection limits and calibration procedure).

A2. Nd isotopic analyses
Rock powders were dissolved in a concentrated HNO₃/HF mixture (3/1) followed by HCl digestion. Nd was isolated from the matrix using a two-column ion-exchange chromatography technique following the method described in Debaille et al. (2013). The ¹⁴³Nd samples were measured using a high-resolution Nu Instruments Plasma I MC-ICPMS at the Laboratoire G-Time (ULB). The isotopic ratios were measured by static multi-collection in dry plasma with an Aridus II desolvator. For ¹⁴³Nd each analysis consists of 3 blocks of 20 runs each. The repeated measurements of Rennes international standard gave an external reproducibility of 14 ppm (2sigma, n=5). Neodymium isotopic compositions were corrected by sample-standard bracketing using the recommended value of the Rennes standard (¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd=0.511961; Chauvel and Blichert-Toft, 2001).

B. Supplementary materials

Interactive location maps (created using Geolokit software; Triantafyllou et al., 2017):
- B1. U-Pb geochronological data (B1_Map_MagmaticAges.kmz)
- B2. Nd isotopic data of Moroccan paleo-arc rocks (B2_Map_Nd_IsotopicData.kmz)

C. Data tables

- C1. U-Pb geochronological data (Appendix_C1_MagmaticAges.pdf).
- C4. REE modelling: fractional melting and crystallization parameters (Appendix_C4_Models_FractionalMelting-Crystallization.xlsx).
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FIGURES and CAPTIONS

Figure 1

(a) Location of the studied area relatively to the West African Craton (WAC). Green is for Pan-African orogenic belt remnants. (b) Schematic map of the Precambrian inliers exposed in the Moroccan Anti-Atlas mountain belt (modified after Gasquet et al., 2008; basemap is Aster Gdem 2.0 topographic data) showing the Sirwa and the Bou Azzer - El Graara inliers to the W and the E, respectively.
Figure 2 (a) Simplified geological map of the igneous and meta-igneous units in the Sirwa (West) and the Bou Azzer inliers (East; modified after El Hadi et al., 2010; Triantafyllou et al., 2016). Geochronological data on plutonic and high-grade metamorphic/crystalline rock are gathered from literature (see appendix C1) and expressed in Ma. (b) Cross-section of the Sirwa arc-related units giving an overview of the relationships between arc and back-arc complexes. It shows the Iriri-Tachakoucht arc complex is thrusted by the Khzama back-arc ophiolitic sequence. This cross-section also highlights the emplacement of the Tourtit arc granitic unit into the ophiolitic sequence.
Figure 3 Field relations showing relative chronology between deformation and magmatic events. (a) Pseudo-boudinaged felsic dykes emplaced at 705 ± 3 Ma into 752 ± 2 Ma gneissic arc units (Tazigzaout complex, Bou Azzer inlier; D’Lemos et al., 2006). This field relation attests that the emplacement of felsic arc rock is concomitant to subsequent to the deformation event. (b) Hornblendite intruding meta-andesitic gneisses, marked by partial melting reactions of the host gneissic rocks and the development of felsic melts (Iriri-Tachakoucht arc complex, Sirwa inlier). Protolithic ages at 743 ± 14 Ma is from U-Pb method on zircon cores and high-
temperature metamorphic age at 663 ± 13 Ma on zircon rims in the host gneiss (Thomas et al., 2002).
Figure 4

Figure 4 Frequency histogram of U-Pb ages (n = 37) displays the three magmatic events of oceanic arc-related activity that are discussed in the manuscript. Solid black line is a probability density function that weights each age determination according to its uncertainty and dashed black line median age value for each magmatic episode, i.e., Groups 1, 2, and 3. Probability density histogram has been calculated using Isoplot 3.0 (Ludwig, 2003).
Figure 5 MORB-normalized spider diagram and TAS (Na$_2$O+K$_2$O vs SiO$_2$) diagrams for the intermediate to felsic arc lithologies of each age group of the Moroccan arc rocks classified per age group from the Moroccan arc complex. (a) Group1: 760-730 Ma, (b) Group2: 710-690 Ma and (c) Group3: 660-640 Ma. Trace element concentration is normalized to N-MORB values.
from Sun and McDonough (1989). Blue dashed line is for alkaline-subalcaline transition after Le Bas et al. (1986).
Figure 6 Nd isotopic data ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$) vs time (Ma) of Moroccan igneous arc rocks (modified after Triantafyllou et al., 2018). Large symbols show new isotopic data from this study. Small symbols are gathered from previous studies (D’Lemos et al., 2006; Beraaouz et al., 2004; Mrini, 1993). Isotopic data younger than 580 Ma are from post-collisional High-K Calc-Alkaline (HKCA) granitoids (Toummite et al., 2013; Mrini, 1993; Errami et al., 2009). Bar codes at both sides of the diagram display Nd isotopic data gathered from GEOROC database for Mariana intra-oceanic arc, Solomon transitional arc (right in blue) and Andean continental arc systems (left; error-weighted mean is marked by the red tick). Red boxes mark interquartile range (Q1-Q3) with the red line as median value.
Figure 7 Evolution of depth sensitive (Sr/Y vs REE-normalized La/Yb) chemical composition of intermediate to felsic (meta-) igneous arc rocks (> 57 wt% SiO₂) for each age group of the Moroccan arc complex. Grey dashed lines show the composition of melt modelled by fractional melting of a hydrous mafic source. The model is based on typical concentrations in residual infra-arc rocks and averaged partition coefficients for dacitic and andesitic liquids referenced on GERM (Geochemical Earth Reference Model) online database available at http://earthref.org/KDD/ (Klein and Langmuir, 2000). Blue symbols are for felsic melt sampled at the root of Kohistan (triangle), Amalaoulaou (dot) and Fiordland (square) paleo-arc complexes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>error</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>UTM_X</th>
<th>UTM_Y</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qtz diorite</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bou Zben</td>
<td>724269</td>
<td>3378236</td>
<td>El Hadi et al, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz diorite</td>
<td>640.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Ousdrat</td>
<td>732149</td>
<td>3375147</td>
<td>Inglis et al, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss leucosome</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tourtit</td>
<td>639183</td>
<td>3410765</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz diorite</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tafrawt</td>
<td>718038</td>
<td>3379664</td>
<td>Walsh et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz diorite</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tamellalet</td>
<td>724289</td>
<td>3378248</td>
<td>Walsh et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnet amphibolite</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sm-Nd garnet</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tourtit</td>
<td>639550</td>
<td>3410997.12</td>
<td>Inglis et al, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granodiorite</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tazigzaout</td>
<td>718153</td>
<td>3376469</td>
<td>Walsh et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gneiss leucosome</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tachakoucht</td>
<td>640658</td>
<td>3409398</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz diorite</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bou Offroht</td>
<td>693058</td>
<td>3381216</td>
<td>Inglis et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diorite</td>
<td>653.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Alt Abdulla</td>
<td>742244</td>
<td>3372412</td>
<td>Inglis et al, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucogranodiorite</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer</td>
<td>732752</td>
<td>3372919</td>
<td>Admou et al, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb rutile</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane</td>
<td>724892</td>
<td>3368990</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granodiorite</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bou Offroht</td>
<td>693066</td>
<td>3381085</td>
<td>El Hadi et al, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz diorite</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bou Offroht</td>
<td>693066</td>
<td>3381085</td>
<td>El Hadi et al, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonalitic migmatite</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Iriri-Tachakoucht</td>
<td>642196</td>
<td>3410179</td>
<td>Thomas et al, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diorite</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Mrini, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblendite</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>U-Pb rutile</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane</td>
<td>726041</td>
<td>3368260</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucogranite</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Oumlill</td>
<td>711742</td>
<td>3377808</td>
<td>Admou et al, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane</td>
<td>724893</td>
<td>3368999</td>
<td>El Hadi et al, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucogranite</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tazigzaout</td>
<td>717674</td>
<td>3374755</td>
<td>D'Lemos et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane</td>
<td>725744</td>
<td>3369976</td>
<td>Admou et al, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucogranite</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tazigzaout</td>
<td>717112</td>
<td>3374506</td>
<td>D'Lemos et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane</td>
<td>724892</td>
<td>3368990</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gneiss protolith</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tachakoucht</td>
<td>637738</td>
<td>3410731</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gneiss protolith</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tachakoucht</td>
<td>640658</td>
<td>3409398</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss protolith</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tourtit</td>
<td>639183</td>
<td>3410765</td>
<td>Triantafyllou et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss protolith</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Oumlill</td>
<td>711797</td>
<td>3377805</td>
<td>El Hadi et al, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonalitic migmatite</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Tachakoucht</td>
<td>642196</td>
<td>3410179</td>
<td>Thomas et al, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss protolith</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane</td>
<td>730335</td>
<td>3368504</td>
<td>Admou et al, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss protolith</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Oumlill</td>
<td>730335</td>
<td>3368504</td>
<td>Admou et al, 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>error</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>UTM_X</th>
<th>UTM_Y</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>752.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tazigzaout</td>
<td>717675</td>
<td>3374766</td>
<td>D'Lemos et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite gneiss</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Tazigzaout</td>
<td>718094</td>
<td>3375619</td>
<td>D'Lemos et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss protolith</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer - Bougmane-Takroum</td>
<td>696363</td>
<td>3379078</td>
<td>Admou et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiogranite</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Khzama</td>
<td>636198</td>
<td>3411112</td>
<td>Samson et al, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic arc rocks</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer</td>
<td>742066</td>
<td>3371557</td>
<td>Admou et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiogranite</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Sirwa - Khzama</td>
<td>636198</td>
<td>3411112</td>
<td>Samson et al, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic arc rocks</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U-Pb zircon</td>
<td>Bou Azzer</td>
<td>756142</td>
<td>3364083</td>
<td>Admou et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sm (ppm)</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>147Sm/144Nd</th>
<th>143Nd/144Nd</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>ƐNd(t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>TAW21b</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.256641</td>
<td>0.513380</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>TAW21b bis</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.256641</td>
<td>0.513387</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microgabbro</td>
<td>TAW 29</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>0.157300</td>
<td>0.512845</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabasalt</td>
<td>TAW30</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>0.238485</td>
<td>0.513260</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabasalt</td>
<td>TAW46</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>0.119311</td>
<td>0.512651</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabasalt</td>
<td>TAW46b</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>0.119311</td>
<td>0.512652</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabasalt</td>
<td>TAW49</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.209329</td>
<td>0.513147</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>TAW82</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.201576</td>
<td>0.512652</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microgabbro</td>
<td>TA11</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.267197</td>
<td>0.513733</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>L42</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>0.138878</td>
<td>0.512768</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>L62</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>18.59</td>
<td>0.170131</td>
<td>0.512828</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>TAW59</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>0.133351</td>
<td>0.512615</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>TAW59b</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>0.133351</td>
<td>0.512617</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>TAW62b</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>0.165813</td>
<td>0.512825</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>TA1</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>0.223216</td>
<td>0.513057</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabasalt</td>
<td>TA4</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>0.128960</td>
<td>0.512640</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabasalt</td>
<td>LH5B</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.243835</td>
<td>0.513068</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>TA44</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>0.177121</td>
<td>0.512888</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>BB3c</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>0.145117</td>
<td>0.512779</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>BB16</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>0.190015</td>
<td>0.512829</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-gabbro</td>
<td>BB16b</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>0.183003</td>
<td>0.512852</td>
<td>0.000006</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>TAW8b</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.126993</td>
<td>0.512816</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diorite intrusive</td>
<td>AB43</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>0.115850</td>
<td>0.512704</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diorite intrusive</td>
<td>AB45</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>0.142208</td>
<td>0.512644</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende-diorite</td>
<td>BB2A</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>0.158655</td>
<td>0.512614</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diorite</td>
<td>BB3</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>0.155922</td>
<td>0.512725</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diorite</td>
<td>BB3b</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>0.155922</td>
<td>0.512734</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz Diorite</td>
<td>BB3c</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>0.155922</td>
<td>0.512747</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioritic gneiss</td>
<td>BB6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.142289</td>
<td>0.512731</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagranite</td>
<td>OM8</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.152439</td>
<td>0.512678</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table C.2: Nd Isotopic data on Neoproterozoic arc rocks (Moroccan Anti-Atlas)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sm (ppm)</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
<th>$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>eNd(t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granodioritic gneiss</td>
<td>TT2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.175234</td>
<td>0.512788</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granodioritic gneiss</td>
<td>TT7A</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>0.145576</td>
<td>0.512750</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augen granitic gneiss</td>
<td>TT12</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.125315</td>
<td>0.512564</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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